

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,854	06/18/2001	Gilad Odinak	WING-1-1016		
25315 BLACK LOW	7590 01/15/2008 E & GRAHAM, PLLC	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AVENUE			GARG, YOGESH C		
SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, WA 98104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
	•			*	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/884,854	ODINAK ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) Yogesh C. Garg.	(3)						
(2) <u>Scott Born</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>03 January 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	ah	19					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exa miner's sign	nature, if required					

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed Mr. Born that he has already reviewed his remarks received on 10/31/2007 and they were not persuasive for following reasons: The new limitation added to claim 1, "wherein the recording of the request is not required to receive the broadcast" does not find support in the applicant's originally filed specification and/or originally filed claims.

The applicant argues that in Jackson reference the recording of a request is a pre-condition of receiving the song and as such the combination of Jackson and Crosby is non-functional and therefore it is not obvious to combine the prior art teachings of Crosby and Jackson to arrive at the applicant's recited claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees because keeping in line with KSR case (KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007)), all the claimed elements were known in the prior arts of Crosby and Jackson and one skilled in the art could have combined the features as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention. Also, KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007), forecloses the requirement of requirement of a motivation to combine the teachings of prior arts. Mr. Born indicated that he would further respond on receiving the Office action to be mailed in due course based on the above examiner's findings..

2063813301

PTOL413A (10-07) Approved for use through 10/31/2007. OMB 0851-0031 Frademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
							
Application No.: 09/884,854 Firs Examiner: Garg, Yogesh C. Art	t Named Applicant:_ Unit:3625	Gilad Odi Status of Appli					
	OM. 9949	omins of rippin	;				
Tentative Participants: (1) P. G. Scott Born (2)	Yogesh Garg						
(3)(4)_			-	•			
Proposed Date of Interview: 3 January 2008 Proposed Time: 2 pm ET (AM/PM)							
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [X] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference							
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [孝 NO If yes, provide brief description:							
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issues Claims/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
	rior	/	,				
(1) Rejection 1-7, et al. Cros	sby/Jackson	M	[]	M			
(2) Rejection 8, 24, et al. Cro	sby/Jackson/Treyz	[.]	[]	[]			
(3)		[]	[]	[]			
(4)		[]		[]			
	·4nd.		•				
Brief Description of Arguments to be Pred Combining the Jackson and Crosby	references woul						
by each said reference non-funct:							
proper basis for 103 rejection. Treyz fails to supply missing teachings.							
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on							
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b))							
as soon as possible. Ghan							
Applicant Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature							
P. G. Scott Born			;				
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Repre	sentative		; !				
40,523 Registration Number, if applicable			•				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or rotain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trudemark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.